

Effect of zinc management practices on growth yield and economics in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L)

P.K. Jena, C.P. Rao* and G. Subbaiah

Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Bapatla-522 101, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during wet season 1998 at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla on a clay loam soil to find out a better zinc practices for transplanted rice using the test variety MTU2067. The results revealed that soil application of 50 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ followed by foliar spray of Zn-EDTA (equivalent to 0.2% ZnSO₄) and seedling root dip with ZnO (equivalent to 10 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹) significantly increased the growth characters, yield attributes, grain and straw yield. Soil application of ZnSO₄ @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ recorded 25 per cent increase in grain yield over control. Though highest Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) 1.30 was recorded by seedling root dip with ZnO (equivalent to 10 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹), soil application of 50 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ was found to be the best which recorded the highest net monetary returns (Rs.12,340 ha⁻¹) with BCR of 1.25.

Key words: Zinc, management practices, rice, growth, yield and economics

In rice cultivation, zinc is one of the most essential micronutrients, since it plays a vital role in translocation of nitrogen and protein synthesis. An estimated area of nearly 54,000 ha of rice land is known to be affected by zinc deficiency in A.P. State (Deb, 1989). Zinc management region, wherein zinc deficiency in rice fields is quite often noticed. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of various zinc management practices in a zinc deficient soil of Bapatla region.

A field experiment was conducted during wet season 1998 at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla campus of Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University using the test variety MTU 2067 (150 days duration) on a clay loam soil having pH 8.4, EC 0.94 ds/m, organic carbon 0.5 per cent, available nitrogen 223 kg⁻¹ and DTPA-Zn 0.7 mg kg⁻¹. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with four replications. The treatments consisted of a control, soil application and seedling root dip with two zinc sources (Zinc sulphate and Zinc oxide) and foliar application twice with three Zinc sources (Zinc sulphate, Zinc oxide and Zn – EDTA), thus making sources (Zn SO₄ or ZnO) were broadcast into puddle soil as per the treatment schedule (Table 1) three days after the application of phosphatic fertilizer. In respect of seedling dip treatments, slurry

was prepared by mixing zinc sources (Zn SO₄ or ZnO) as per the treatment with soil and water in 1:2:3 ratio and seedling roots were dipped in the slurry for 30 minutes in sunlight and later they were transplanted. With regard to foliar application, the required quantities of sources (Zn SO₄/ ZnO/Zn-EDTA) were dissolved in water in order to obtain 0.2% Zn SO₄ equivalent solutions and foliar sprayings were given at 30 and 40 Days after transplanting (DAT). A common dose of 80-60-40 kg NPK ha⁻¹ was applied. Nitrogen was applied in three equal splits *i.e.* at planting, 30 and 60 DAT. Entire doses of P and K were applied at planting. Suitable provisions were made in the sources *viz.*, zinc oxide, Zn EDTA treatments by supplementing equivalent to Zn SO₄ available.

Biometric data were collected on five randomly selected plants and subjected to statistical analysis (Panse and Sukhatme, 1978). At harvest, the grain and straw yields were recorded besides calculating harvest index and economics.

Drymatter production at 60 DAT showed that soil application of 50 kg Zn SO₄ ha⁻¹ (T₂) recorded significantly higher drymatter over control followed by foliar application twice with Zn-EDTA (T₈) and seedling root dip in ZnO (Table 1). However, the rest of

Table 1. Effect of zine management practices on growth and yield of transplanted rice

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Draymater production (g m ⁻²) at		EBT	Panicles m ⁻²	Filled grain	Test weight g(1000gr)	Grain yield t ha ⁻¹	Straw yield t ha ⁻¹	Harvest Index (%)
		30 DAT	60 DAT							
T ₁ control (No. zinc application)	90.5	150	486	281	182	98	20.4	3.340	5.48	37.8
T ₂ soil application of 50 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	95.7	180	604	318	223	122	21.4	4.17	6.32	39.7
T ₃ soil application of ZnO equivalent to 50 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	93.0	157	516	288	189	103	20.7	3.63	5.84	38.3
T ₄ Seedling dip in 10 kg ZnSO ₄ slurry ha ⁻¹	93.5	163	535	288	187	101	20.9	3.62	5.80	38.4
T ₅ Seedling dip in ZnO slurry equivalent to 10 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	93.8	167	549	308	203	113	20.7	3.79	5.95	38.9
T ₆ Foliar application twice with 0.2% ZnSO ₄	93.6	163	536	306	193	107	20.7	3.75	5.90	38.8
T ₇ Foliar application twice with ZnO equivalent to 0.2% ZnSO ₄	91.0	160	522	293	185	100	20.5	3.53	5.77	37.9
T ₈ Foliar application twice with Zn-EDTA equivalent to 0.2% ZnSO ₄	95.6	174	583	313	207	115	21.0	3.95	6.01	39.6
SEm±	1.1	12.7	21.9	12	8.5	4.5	0.2	0.142	0.227	0.4
CD (P=0.05)	3.1	NS	65	35	25	13	0.6	0.42	0.67	1.2
CV %	7.0	6.7	8.4	7.4	5.0	6.5	7.2	7.3	8.3	6.4

N.S = non significant 3.1

treatments remained at par. Similar trend was also recorded in respect of earbearing tillers (EBT) and plant height at harvest. Dry matter accumulation was higher in soil application of 50 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ over other treatments that might be due to more availability of zinc near rhizosphere (Uddin *et al.*, 1981, Varshney, 1988, Mali and Shaik 1994).

Soil application of 50 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ recorded more number of panicles followed by foliar application twice with Zn-EDTA and seedling root dip in ZnO compared to the remaining treatments. The treatment T₂ significantly increased the number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ compared to rest of the treatments except T₈ and T₅ at par (Table 1). The results were in conformity with the findings of Sriramachandrasekharan and Mathan (1998). The treatment T₂ recorded the highest test weight of 21.4g as against 20.4g recorded in the control. This might be due to increased transportation of photosynthates from source to sink due to zinc application as reported by Varshney (1988).

Superiority of T₂ treatment over other

treatments might be attributed to higher zinc concentration maintained by soil application of zinc in the rhizosphere with constant supply. More number of productivity tillers, filled grains panicle⁻¹ and higher test weight with zinc application might have contributed to increased grain yield with T₂ treatment followed by T₈ and T₅ (Table 1). The results corroborate with the findings of Singh and Sharma (1994).

Straw yield was also significantly higher with soil application of 50 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ (T₂) over control (T₁) only. Higher growth characters and tillers m⁻² might have contributed to increased straw yield. (Singh and Sarma, 1994).

Soil application of 50 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ (T₂) significantly enhanced the harvest index (39.7%) which however, did not differ with foliar spray of ZnSO₄ and Zn-EDTA and seedling root dip with ZnO treatments.

Critical perusal of the data (Table 2) revealed that soil application of 50 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ (T₂) recorded at higher extra net return of Rs.2924 and Rs.2725 ha⁻¹ (T₁) and soil application of ZnO (T₃) respectively.

Table 2. Economics of different zinc management practices in transplanted rice

Treatment	Gross returns (Rs./ha)	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)	Net returns (Rs./ha)	Benefit cost ratio
T ₁ control (No. zinc application)	17,926	8,510	9,416	1.10
T ₂ soil application of 50 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	22,150	9,180	12,340	1.25
T ₃ soil application of ZnO equivalent to 50 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	19,415	9,800	9,615	0.98
T ₄ Seedling dip in 10 kg ZnSO ₄ slurry ha ⁻¹	19,364	8,770	10,594	1.20
T ₅ Seedling dip in ZnO slurry equivalent to 10 kg ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹	20,201	8,768	11,433	1.30
T ₆ Foliar application twice with 0.2% ZnSO ₄	20,018	8,787	11,231	1.27
T ₇ Foliar application twice with ZnO equivalent to 0.2% ZnSO ₄	18,938	8,787	10,151	1.15
T ₈ Foliar application twice with Zn-EDTA equivalent to 0.2% ZnSO ₄	20,960	9,145	11,815	1.29

Cost of Rs. 26 kg⁻¹ Cost of rice grain Rs. 4.55 kg⁻¹ ZnSO₄

Cost of ZnO Rs. 86 kg⁻¹ Cost of rice straw Rs. 0.50 kg⁻¹

Cost of ZnEDTA Rs.1000 kg⁻¹ cost of rice cultivation (without out Zn application) Rs. 8510 ha⁻¹

Highest net returns was obtained with T₂ (Rs. 12,340/-) with moderate benefit cost ratio (BCR) of 1.25 whereas the highest BCR (1.30) was recorded with T₅ (seedling root dip in ZnO slurry).

Thus, it is concluded that soil application of 50 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ may be adopted for obtaining maximum grain and straw yield and higher monetary return.

REFERENCES

- Deb DL 1989. Strategy for increasing micronutrient use to improve agricultural production. Fertilizer News 34: 73-77
- Panse VG and Sukhatme PV 1978. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. IARI, New Delhi.
- Singh R and Sharma MP 1994. Response of rice to different zinc carriers and their method of applications in partially reclaimed salt affected soil. Fertilizer News 39: 51-52
- Sriramachandrasekharan MVS and Mathan KK 1988. Influence of zinc sources on the yield components, drymatter production and yield of rice. Madras Agric J 75: 200-203
- Uddin MJ, Bhuiya ZH, Hoque MS and Rahuman L 1981. Effect of rates and methods of zinc application of rice. Madras Agric J 68: 211-216
- Varsheny JG 1988. Effect of different micronutrients on the yield of flooded rice in hilly tract of Meghalaya. Oryza 25 : 23-26